



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/677,877	10/02/2003	Peng Liang	03-052-PL	9984
7590 02/27/2006			EXAMINER	
Lambert & Associates, P.L.L.C.			ROOKE, AGNES BEATA	
92 State Street Boston, MA 02109-2004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Doston, MA 02107-2004			1653	

DATE MAILED: 02/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/677,877	LIANG, PENG			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Agnes B. Rooke	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1 -	l			
Period for Reply	•	, , , , , , , , , , ,			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to the status of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action of form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	<u> </u>	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1653

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-3, 6-14, drawn to a method of generating a secreted trimeric fusion protein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.7.
- II. Claim 4, 6-14, drawn to a method for generating a secreted trimeric fusion protein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.7.
- III. Claim 5, 6-14, drawn to a method of generating a secreted trimeric fusion protein, classified in class 435, subclass 69.7.
- IV. Claim 15, drawn to compositions of fusion proteins that are timeric human TNF- α receptor II (p75) with SEQ ID NOs: 9-12, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- Claim 16, drawn to compositions of fusion proteins that are trimeric human
 CD4 with SEQ ID NOs: 13-16, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- VI. Claim 17, drawn to compositions of fusion proteins that are trimeric human placental alkaline phosphatase with SEQ ID NOs: 5-8, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- VII. Claim 18, drawn to a trimerized polypeptide fusion protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350.
- VIII. Claim 19, drawn to a method of blocking TNF- α biological activity using composition of claim 15, classified in class 435, subclass 69.7.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I/II/III/VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs. modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions I/II/III/VIII are directed to different methods that possess different steps and different modes of operations. Therefore, the inventions are distinct.

Inventions IV/V/VI/VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the inventions IV/V/VI/VII are directed to different compositions that possess different chemical structures i.e. different amino acid compositions, and therefore the inventions are distinct.

Inventions V/VI/VII and VIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, inventions V/VI/VII cannot be used in the method as disclosed in invention VIII.

Inventions IV and VIII are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different

Art Unit: 1653

process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, invention IV can be used in other biochemical processes, as for example, in protein purification or as a molecular marker. Therefore, the inventions are distinct.

Inventions I/II/III and IV/V/VI/VII are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the inventions IV/V/VI/VII can be made by different processes as disclosed in inventions I/II/III. Therefore, the inventions are distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, and the separate search is required for each of these inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a

matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between products claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,877

Art Unit: 1653

/677,877 Page 6

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the Invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agnes Rooke whose telephone number is 571-272-2055. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. or call 866-217-9197.

AR

JON WEBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER